

REMARKS

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's thorough consideration provided the present application. Claims 3-9 are now present in the application. Claims 3-5 have been amended. Claims 6-9 have been added. Claims 1 and 2 have been cancelled. Claims 3 and 4 are independent. Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 3-5 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Applicant respectfully submits that the guiding devices as recited in claim 3 and 4 are used to form electrical connection between the terminals of the noise eliminating system and the chip (the power supply/ground or the inner circuits of the chip), so as to eliminate the undesired noise between circuits or between the power supply and the ground.

Applicant also respectfully submits that the term "metal redistribution layer" as recited in claim 5 is known in the art, although applying the metal redistribution layer to the present invention is not known in the art. For example, a metal redistribution layer can be a thin film routing layers to route signals or to provide for distributed power and ground (see <http://www.unitive.com/casestudies/pdfs/contr.pdf>). The metal redistribution layer of claim 5 is used to form electrical connection between the terminals of the noise eliminating system and the chip (the power supply/ground), so as to eliminate the undesired noise between the power supply and the ground.

Accordingly, it is believed that claims 3-5 are now definite and clear. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, are therefore respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1 and 2 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Bernstein, U.S. Patent No. 6,548,338. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

As the Examiner will note, claims 1 and 2 have been cancelled to expedite the prosecution. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that this rejection has been obviated and/or rendered moot. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102 are respectfully requested.

Additional Claims

Additional claims 6-9 have been added for the Examiner's consideration.

Support for claim 6 can be found on page 6, lines 16-17 of the specification. Support for claim 7 can be found on page 7, lines 16-17 of the specification. Support for claims 8 and 9 can be found on page 6, lines 15-16 and page 7, lines 8-9 of the specification.

Applicant respectfully submits that claims 6-9 are allowable due to their respective dependence on independent claims 3 and 4, as well as due to the additional recitations included in these claims.

Favorable consideration and allowance of additional claims 6-9 are respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

Since the remaining patents cited by the Examiner have not been utilized to reject the claims, but merely to show the state of the prior art, no further comments are necessary with respect thereto.


It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the Office Action, and that as such, the Examiner is respectfully requested to send the application to Issue.

In the event there are any matters remaining in this application, the Examiner is invited to contact Joe McKinney Muncy, Registration No. 32,334 at (703) 205-8000 in the Washington, D.C. area.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By 
Joe McKinney Muncy
Reg. No. 32,334

KM/GH/mmi/asc
2019-0235P

6/10

P. O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000